	FOR GRADUATE AND CREDENTIAL PROGRAMS: THIS TEMPLATE REFERS TO SAC STATE BACCALAUREATE LEARNING GOALS. PLEASE IGNORE THESE REFERENCES IN YOUR REPORT.							
Question 1: Program	Learning Outcomes							
Q1.1. Which of the following Program Learning Outcomes (PLOs) and Sac State Baccalaureate Learning Goals (BLGs) did you assess in 2014- 2015? [Check all that apply] ☐ 1. Critical thinking ☐ 2. Information literacy	Q1.3. Are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission of the university? ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No ☐ 3. Don't know							
 3. Written communication 4. Oral communication 5. Quantitative literacy 6. Inquiry and analysis 7. Creative thinking 8. Reading 	Q1.4. Is your program externally accredited (other than through WASC)? ☐ 1. Yes ☑ 2. No (Go to Q1.5) ☐ 3. Don't know (Go to Q1.5)							
 9. Team work 10 Problem solving 11. Civic knowledge and engagement 12. Intercultural knowledge and competency 13. Ethical reasoning 14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning 15. Global learning 16. Integrative and applied learning 	Q1.4.1. If the answer to Q1.4 is yes, are your PLOs closely aligned with the mission/goals/outcomes of the accreditation agency? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know							
 17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge 18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline 19. Other, specify any PLOs that were assessed in 2014-2015 but not included above: a. b. c. 	Q1.5. Did your program use the <u>Degree</u> <u>Qualification Profile</u> (DQP) to develop your PLO(s)?							
None	Q1.6. Did you use action verbs to make each PLO measurable (See Attachment I)? ☑ 1. Yes ☑ 2. No ☑ 3. Don't know							

Q1.2. Please provide more detailed background information about EACH PLO you checked above and other information such as how your specific PLOs were explicitly linked to the Sac State BLGs: The Geology MS program had been operating through CCE in the past several years. That CCE program was suspended, and the MS program under Academic Affairs has been revived with enrollment beginning Fall of 2015. As a consequence, we have not yet developed an assessment plan for the new MS program. We plan to do that this coming Fall. However, we do have PLO's established, submitted to Graduate Studies in May 2013. The PLOs and indicators are detailed in Appendix I. Because we are still developing our Assessment Plan, we did not complete Questions 2-5 or the Additional Assessment Activity page. Please skip to Program Information.	Q1.2.1. Do you have rubrics for your PLOs? 1. Yes, for all PLOs 2. Yes, but for some PLOs 3. No rubrics for PLOs 4. N/A, other (please specify):
IN QUESTIONS 2 THROUGH 5, REPORT IN DETAIL	
ASSESSED IN 2014-2015	
Question 2: Standard of Performance	
Q 2.1 . Specify one PLO here as an example to illustrate how you conducted assessment (be sure you checked the correct box for this PLO in Q1.1):	standards of performance for this PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A
Q2.3. <u>Please provide the rubric(s)</u> and standard of performance the here or in the appendix: [Word limit: 300]	at you have developed for this PLO

Q2.4. Please indicate the category in which the selected PLO falls into. 1. Critical thinking 2. Information literacy 3. Written communication 4. Oral communication 5. Quantitative literacy 6. Inquiry and analysis 7. Creative thinking 8. Reading 9. Team work 10. Problem solving 11. Civic knowledge and engagement 12. Intercultural knowledge and competency 13. Ethical reasoning 14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning 15. Global learning 16. Integrative and applied learning 17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge 18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline 19. Other PLO. Specify:			
Please indicate where you have published the PLO, the standard of performance,	Q2.5	Q2.6	Q2.7
and the rubric that measures the PLO:	РГО	Standards of Performance	Rubrics
1. In SOME course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO	1.	2.	3.
2. In ALL course syllabi/assignments in the program that address the PLO	1.	2.	3.
3. In the student handbook/advising handbook	 1. □	 2. □	3.
4. In the university catalogue	 1. □	2.	3.
5. On the academic unit website or in newsletters	 1. □	2.	3.
6. In the assessment or program review reports, plans, resources or activities	 1. □	2.	3.
7. In new course proposal forms in the department/college/university	 1. □	2.	3.
8. In the department/college/university's strategic plans and other planning documents	1.	2.	3.
9. In the department/college/university's budget plans and other resource allocation documents	1.	2.	3.
10. Other, specify:			
Question 3: Data Collection Methods and Eval Data Quality for the <u>Selected</u> PLO	uatio	on of	-

Q3.1. Was assessment data/evidence collected for the selected PLO Q3.2. If yes, was the data

in 2014-2015? 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q6) 3. Don't know (Skip to C 4. N/A (Skip to Q6)	2 6)		scored/evaluated for this PLO in 2014-2015? ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No (Skip to Q6) ☐ 3. Don't know (Skip to Q6) ☐ 4. N/A (Skip to Q6)					
Q3.1A. How many assessment tools/methods/measures in total did you use to assess this PLO?	selected PLO. Fo	4. N/A (Skip to Q6) describe how you collected the assessment data for the For example, in what course(s) or by what means were data Attachment II)? [Word limit: 300]						
	•	ey assignments, pr						
Q3.3. Were direct measuretc.] used to assess this F 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q3.7) 3. Don't know (Go to Q3.7) Q3.3.2. Please attach the	3.7) direct measure yo	ou used to collect data.	 Q3.3.1. Which of the following direct measures were used? [Check all that apply] ☐ 1. Capstone projects (including theses, senior theses), courses, or experiences ☐ 2. Key assignments from required classes in the program ☐ 3. Key assignments from elective classes ☐ 4. Classroom based performance assessments such as simulations, comprehensive exams, critiques ☐ 5. External performance assessments such as internships or other community based projects ☐ 6. E-Portfolios ☐ 7. Other portfolios ☐ 8. Other measure. Specify: 					
Q3.4. How was the data e 1. No rubric is used to 2. Used rubric develop 3. Used rubric develop 4. Used rubric pilot-tes 5. The VALUE rubric(s 6. Modified VALUE rub 7. Used other means.	interpret the evide ed/modified by the ed/modified by a g ted and refined by bric(s)	ence (Go to Q3.5) e faculty who teaches the clas group of faculty	3S					
Q3.4.1. Was the direct me assignment, thesis, etc.) a		Q3.4.2. Was the direct measure (e.g. assignment,	Q3.4.3. Was the rubric aligned directly and explicitly with the					

and explicitly with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know 4. N/A	thesis, etc.) ali and explicitly w rubric? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't kno 4. N/A	ith the	PLO? 1. Y 2. N 3. C 4. N	lo)on't know	
Q3.5. How many faculty members participat the assessment data collection of the select	Q3.5.1. If the data was evaluated by multiple scorers, was there a norming process (a procedure to make sure everyone was scoring similarly)? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know				
Q3.6. How did you select the sample of stud [papers, projects, portfolios, etc.]?			decide how many vork to review?		
Q3.6.2. How many students were in the clas	ss or program?	Q3.6.3. How samples of si work did you evaluate?	tudent	Q3.6.4. Was the sample size of student work for the direct measure adequate? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	
Q3B: Indirect Measures (s	urveys, foo	us group	s, int	erviews, etc.)	
Q3.7. Were indirect measures used to asses 1. Yes 2. No (Skip to Q3.8) Q3.7.2 If surveys were used, how was the s decided? Q3.7.3. If surveys were used, briefly specify	ss the PLO?	Q3.7.1. Whice measures we apply] 1. Nationa 2. Universion (e.g. Of 3. College surveys 4. Alumni intervie 5. Employ intervie 6. Adviso or inter 7. Other,	ch of the ere used al stude sity cond IR) e/Depar s surveys ws yer surv ws ry board views specify:	e following indirect d? [Check all that nt surveys (e.g., NSSE) ducted student surveys tment/program student s, focus groups, or eys, focus groups, or d surveys, focus groups,	
selected your sample.	now you	response rat		ere useu, what was the	

Q3C: Other Measures (external benchmarking, licensing exams, standardized tests, etc.)							
 Q3.8. Were external benchmarking data such as licensing exa standardized tests used to assess the PLO? ☐ 1. Yes ☐ 2. No (Go to Q3.8.2) 	 ms or Q3.8.1. Which of the following measures was used? ☐ 1. National disciplinary exams or state/professional licensure exams ☐ 2. General knowledge and skills measures (e.g., CLA, CAAP, ETS PP, etc.) ☐ 3. Other standardized knowledge and skill exams (e.g., ETS, GRE, etc.) ☐ 4. Other, specify: 						
Q3.8.2. Were other measures used to assess the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No (Go to Q3.9) 3. Don't know (Go to Q3.9)	Q3.8.3. If other measures were used, please specify:						
Q3D: Alignment and	Quality						
Q3.9. Did the data, including the direct measures, from all the different assessment tools/measures/methods directly align with the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know	Q3.9.1. Were ALL the assessment tools/measures/methods that were used good measures for the PLO? 1. Yes 2. No 3. Don't know						
Question 4: Data, Findings	and Conclusions						
Q4.1. Please provide simple tables and/or graphs to summariz conclusions: (see Attachment III) [Word limit: 600 for selected F							

Q4.2. Are students doing well and meeting program standard? If not, how will the program work to improve student performance of the selected PLO?
Q4.3. For selected PLO, the student performance: 1. Exceeded expectation/standard 2. Met expectation/standard 3. Partially met expectation/standard 4. Did not meet expectation/standard 5. No expectation or standard has been specified 6. Don't know

Question 5: Use of Asse	essr	ner	nt E	Data	a (CI	osing	the Loc	op)
Q5.1. As a result of the assessment effort in 2014-2015 and based on the prior feedback from OAPA, do you anticipate making any changes for your program (e.g., course structure, course content, or modification of PLOs)? □ 1. Yes □ 2. No (Go to Q6) □ 3. Don't know (Go to Q6) Q5.1.2. Do you have a plan to assess the impact of the changes that you anticipate	Q5.1.1. Please describe what changes you plan to make in your program as a result of your assessment of this PLO. Include a description of how you plan to assess the impact of these changes. [Word limit: 300 words]								
making?									
□ 1. Yes									
2. No									
🗌 3. Don't know									
Q5.2. How have the assessment data from last	st year	⁻ (201	3 - 2	2014)	bee	en u	sed so fa	r? [Check all t	hat
apply]									(2)
			1)		(2)	-	(3)	(4)	(8)
			ery uch	Q	uite Bit	а	Some	Not at all	N/A
1. Improving specific courses									
2. Modifying curriculum			=		H				
3. Improving advising and mentoring			-		H				
4. Revising learning outcomes/goals			=		Ħ				
5. Revising rubrics and/or expectations		Γ Γ	-		Ħ				
6. Developing/updating assessment plan		Ī							
7. Annual assessment reports		[
8. Program review		[
9. Prospective student and family information		[
10. Alumni communication									
11. WASC accreditation (regional accreditatio	n)								
12. Program accreditation		[
13. External accountability reporting requirem	ent								
14. Trustee/Governing Board deliberations									
15. Strategic planning					<u>Ц</u>				
16. Institutional benchmarking			_						
17. Academic policy development or modifica	tion		_		<u>Ц</u>				
18. Institutional Improvement			_						
19. Resource allocation and budgeting			_		님				
20. New faculty hiring 21. Professional development for faculty and s	104		╡─		\square				
21. Professional development for faculty and s	stall		-		\mathbf{H}				
23. Other Specify:		L							

Q5.2.1. Please provide a detailed example of how you used the assessment data above.

Additional Assessment Activities

Q6. Many academic units have collected assessment data on aspects of a program that are not related to PLOs (i.e., impacts of an advising center, etc.). **If** your program/academic unit has collected data on the program elements, please briefly report your results here. **[Word limit: 300]**

Q7 . What PLO(s) do you plan to assess next year?	>
1. Critical thinking	
2. Information literacy	
 3. Written communication 4. Oral communication 	
\Box 5. Quantitative literacy	
\square 6. Inquiry and analysis	
\Box 7. Creative thinking	
8. Reading	
9. Team work	
10. Problem solving	
11. Civic knowledge and engagement – local an	nd global
 12. Intercultural knowledge and competency 13. Ethical reasoning 	
☐ 14. Foundations and skills for lifelong learning	
\square 15. Global learning	
16. Integrative and applied learning	
17. Overall competencies for GE Knowledge	
18. Overall competencies in the major/discipline	
19. Other, specify any PLOs not included abo	ve:
a.	
b. c.	
0.	
00 112	eres Pet Deservelles er
Q8. Have you attached any appendices? If yes, ple	ease list them all here:
Program	Information
P1. Program/Concentration Name(s):	P2. Report Authors:
Master of Science, Geology	Judi Kusnick, Tim Horner
P3. Academic unit: Department, Program, or	P4 Collego:
College:	P4. College: NSM
Geology	
P5. Fall 2014 enrollment for Academic unit (See	P6. Program Type: [Select only one]
<u>Department Fact Book 2014</u> by the Office of	1. Undergraduate baccalaureate major
Institutional Research for fall 2012 enrollment:	2. Credential
	🛛 3. Master's degree
0	
	4. Doctorate (Ph.D./Ed.d) 5. Other. Please specify:

P7. Number of undergraduate degree programs				Master Degree Program(s): P8. Number of Master's degree programs the academic unit has: 1						
P7.1. List all the name(s): Geology BS, Geology BA, Earth Science BA				P8.1. List all the name(s): Geology, MS						
				P8.2. How many concentrations appear on the diploma for this master program? 0						
Credential Program(s): P9. Number of credential programs the academic unit has: 0			P10	Doctorate Program(s) P10. Number of doctorate degree programs the academic unit has: 0						
P9.1. List all the names:			P10	P10.1. List the name(s):						
When was your assessment plan?	1. Before 2007-08		3. 2008- 09					8. 2013-	9. 2014-	15 10. No formal plan
P11. Developed										
P12. Last updated										\square
						1. Yes	2. No	3. Don't Know		
P13. Have you developed a curriculum map for this program?							\square			
P14. Has the program indicated explicitly where the assessment of student learning occurs in the curriculum?										
P15. Does the program have any capstone class?						\boxtimes				
P16. Does the program have ANY capstone project?								\boxtimes		